ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಭೇಟಿಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಭೇಟಿಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ''ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಅಗೌರವ ಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ'' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗಲೂ ಹಾಗೆಯೆ ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ವಿಷಾದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತ ಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ''ನನಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಗೌರವವಿದೆ, ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಅಗೌರವವನ್ನುಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ'' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಷಾದವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡರು ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಪಾರ ಗೌರವ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಅವರ ಮಾತಿನಿಂದ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗೌರವಕ್ಕೆ ಧಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಉದ್ದೇಶವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಅಪಾರವಾದ ಗೌರವವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಹೃತ್ಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರ ವಿಷಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಮನ್ನಣೆತೋರಿಸಿ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬರುವ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಅವರನ್ನು ಭೇಟಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ನನಗೆ ಅವರ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನ ಇಂಗಿತ ಏನು ಅನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ನಿರ್ಣಯಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಸಾಹಾನುಭೂತಿ ತೋರಿಸಿ, ಅದರಂತೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು, ಈ ದಿವಸ ಅವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬರುವ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.-Now ,that the Hon'ble Member Sri Gopala Gowda has expressed regret and that he is desirous of participating in the debates and further deliberations of the House, I think the House might consider it with sympathy. I have no right to decide it myself. It is a decision ofthe House and that can be modified only by another motion. The proper procedure has been followed and the Hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition has moved the motion that the House resolves to terminate the suspension of Sri Gopal Gowda with effect from today. May I take it that the House is in fayour of it.

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I take it that it is the unanimous desire of the House. I am every happy that this sort of attitude has come to an end. Intimation may be given to the Hon'ble Member that, if he desires, he may attend the further deliberations of the House.

House Committee—Names of Members Announced.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In connection with the election of the House Committee, I have to announce that as the number of candidates is equal to the number of seats to be filled up, the following members are declared elected and consequently there will be no ballot in this behalf. The members are—

Sriyuths:—

1. Smt. Champabai P. Bhogale
2. Channappa Shankarappa Wali
3. K. S. Gowdaiah
4. J. L. Kabadi
5. V. S. Lakshmi Kanthappa
6. B. J. Linge Gowda
7. Mahantha Swami
8. K. P. Revanna Siddappa.

Business of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—One more matter which I want to deal with is this. Yesterday, after I vacated the Chair and my esteemed colleague, the Deputy Speaker, was in the Chair, I have heard all that happened in the House. Though I was absent from the House, I heard everything that was happening here because in my room there is an amplifier. I heard that certain objections, certain preliminary points, certain procedural wrangles took place. I am very sorry that it should have happened at all. There was nothing that the Deputy Speaker was permitting to be done. There was not the slightest deviation from the well-established practice, but I heard one or two Hon'ble Members who are very senior by the length of their membership, by their wisdom, age and studious habits raised one or two objections. I am sorry it happened because I have looked into it and there was nothing wrong in what happened yesterday. I, however, propose to give a definite ruling on Wednesday so that it may guide us in the future. The Hon'ble Deputy Speaker should have been heard with greater silence and greater respect. In this connection, I would like to say

(MR. SPEAKER)

that it is not that the procedure that is followed by us is one which should be binding upon us. If any member is desirous of having a change in the procedure, it can be sought to be done in a proper form rather than by interjections at a time when there is so much of work to be gone through. It was said that members were not allowed to move cut motions. With a view to save time, cut motions are not formally moved. This is not the direct point that was raised yesterday. To say that if there is a cut motion, it should be permitted to be moved and that the person who has moved a cut motion should be allowed to have his say in support of it is not quite correct.

Here, I may read a few sentences. "U s u a l l y, a demand is taken up, discussed and disposed of and then another demand passes through the same process. With a view to economise time, the Chair can club several demands together and place them together with cut motions for simultaneous discussion. When such an arrangement is adopted, the speeches must be confined to the subject matter of the cut motion and the demand concerned".

The Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa raised a point and read a ruling and it was commented upon by my friend Sri V. S. Patil. He emphasised the word 'ordinarily'. Certainly, ordinarily that is the procedure but to say that extraordinary circumstances should exist before the procedure can deviate is misplaced emphasis and he is not quite right. Anyhow, I mentioned it so that it might not happen again. Today, when further series of demands are going to be placed before the House, if any member is desirous he may meet me in may chamber in this behalf or if the House so wishes, I will put it before it at the appropriate time and give a ruling.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, since you have brought in my name, I would like to refer to the procedure followed by the Speaker on March 25, 1959. I do not know whether the convention established or the Rules of Procedure have been followed. The then Speaker allowed Demand Nos. 23, 19, 26, etc,. to be moved.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the Hon'ble Member referring to or citing instances? I have got a dozen of them. Whatever you may cite you may give it to me in writing in my chambers or you may send the same by post. If the Member wants to have a discussion, in the House, it may be taken up later on and not today.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Rule 161(1) of the Rules of Procedure is very clear. That is why I raised an objection. If a ruling is given on that point, I am very glad to accept it. It is not my purpose to indulge in discussion and take the time of the House. There was another request which I made.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After tea-break is over we will take it up.

BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1962-63—VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS.

DEMAND NO. 20—31. AGRICULTURE

22—33. ANIMAL HUSBANDRY.

(Debate continued.)

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಎಂ. ದೇವ್ (ಗುಬ್ಬಿ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದ ಮೂರನೇ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸುವಾಗ, ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಮೇಲ್ಮೈ ವೈಲಕ್ಷಣ, ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಮತ್ತು ರಾಜಕೀಯ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದಂಥ ಒಂದು ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವನ್ನು ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆಂದರೆ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವನ್ನು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ದೇಶದ ಒಂದು ನಮುಗ್ರ ಚಿತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಯಾವ ತರಹ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಡಿಂಡ್ರಿಯಾ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಇಲ್ಲದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ, ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ, ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಭ್ಯಾಸ, ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಇವೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಕೂಡ ಒಂದು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಪಲಪ್ ಆಗಿವೆ. ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದ ಮೂರನೇ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಪುಟ 20ರಲ್ಲಿ,

"Mysore has an impressive tradition and record of achievement in the industrial field. Long